

Timeline of *McDonald* Litigation

- **March 6, 2019** Plaintiffs filed complaint
- **March 25** Plaintiffs filed motion for preliminary injunction and motion for partial summary judgment on liability
- **April 25 – July 22**
 - Amicus briefs filed in support of Plaintiffs: (1) Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton; and (2) Goldwater Institute
 - Amicus briefs filed in support of the State Bar: (1) Texas Legal Ethics Counsel; (2) Former Presidents of the State Bar of Texas, Former Chairs of the Texas Bar College, and Former Chairs of the State Bar of Texas Council of Chairs; (3) Texas Access to Justice Commission; (4) Concerned Lawyers of Color
- **May 13** State Bar filed responsive briefs, cross-motion for summary judgment, and motion to dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction
- **May 23** Status conference held; Court scheduled summary-judgment merits hearing for August 1. Plaintiffs agreed to pay their 2019-2020 State Bar dues.
- **May 31** Plaintiffs filed responses and replies. Plaintiffs amended the complaint in response to the State Bar’s motion to dismiss, and added the Chief Disciplinary Counsel of the State Bar and the members of the State Bar Commission for Lawyer Discipline as defendants to the case
- **June 4** Court dismissed without prejudice the State Bar’s motion to dismiss
- **June 18** State Bar filed reply in support of cross-motion for summary judgment
- **July 15** Plaintiffs and Defendants filed a joint stipulation regarding the defendants in the action
- **August 1** Summary-judgment merits hearing held; motion for preliminary injunction dismissed
- **August 30 & September 4** State Bar filed notice of supplemental authority informing the Court of the Eighth Circuit’s favorable decision in *Fleck v. Wetch*, and Plaintiffs filed response
- **January 15 & 21, 2020** State Bar filed notice of supplemental authority informing the Court of the E.D. Louisiana’s favorable decision in *Boudreaux v. La. State Bar Ass’n*

	and the Seventh Circuit’s favorable decision in <i>Jarchow v. State Bar of Wis.</i> , and Plaintiffs filed response
• March 9	State Bar filed notice of supplemental authority informing the Court of the Supreme Court’s denial of certiorari in <i>Fleck v. Wetch</i>
• May 29	The Court denied Plaintiffs’ motion for partial summary judgment, granted the State Bar’s cross-motion for summary judgment, and entered final judgment for the State Bar
• June 2	Plaintiffs filed an appeal in the Fifth Circuit
• June 5 & 11	Plaintiffs filed, and the Fifth Circuit granted, Plaintiffs’ unopposed motion to expedite
• June 30	Plaintiffs filed their opening brief
• July 30	State Bar filed its responding brief
• August 5 & 13	The Fifth Circuit oral argument panel requested, and the parties filed, supplemental briefs regarding the applicability of the Tax Injunction Act. Both parties argued the TIA is inapplicable to Plaintiffs’ claims.
• August 11	The oral argument panel postponed oral argument until “the court is able to return to normal operations.” The oral argument panel is comprised of Judges Jerry Smith, Don Willett, and Kyle Duncan.
• August 14	Plaintiffs filed their reply brief
• September 11	State Bar filed a notice of supplemental authority informing the Fifth Circuit of the W.D. Michigan’s favorable decision in <i>Taylor v. Barnes</i>
• February 26 & 27, 2021	Plaintiffs and the State Bar filed notices of supplemental authority informing the Fifth Circuit of the Ninth Circuit’s decision in <i>Crowe v. Oregon State Bar</i>
• March 4	Fifth Circuit held oral argument in <i>McDonald and Boudreaux</i>
• May 28	Plaintiffs filed a letter informing the Fifth Circuit they would pay their 2021 Bar dues

State Bar Arguments on Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment

Count I

The State Bar argues that Plaintiffs' facial challenge to membership in the State Bar is clearly foreclosed by binding Supreme Court precedent in *Keller* and *Lathrop*.

Count II

The State Bar argues that Plaintiffs' challenge to specific State Bar expenditures fails because all of the State Bar's expenditures are consistent with *Keller* as they relate to regulating the legal profession and improving the quality of legal services.

Count III

The State Bar argues that Plaintiffs' challenge to the State Bar's procedures for providing members with a refund for expenditures with which they disagree fails because all of the State Bar's expenditures are germane under *Keller*.

Related Lawsuits Against State Bars

North Dakota

Fleck v. Wetch

- **April 2019** – Amicus briefs filed in support of the State Bar of North Dakota:
 - Chuck Herring for Texas Legal Ethics Counsel; State Bar of California; joint brief of several integrated state bars (Alaska, Michigan, etc.); Missouri Bar
- **August 30, 2019** – Eighth Circuit issued decision again affirming the district court’s grant of summary judgment for the defendants on remand from the Supreme Court
- **November 21, 2019** – Fleck filed a petition for writ of certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court
- **December 2019** – Four amicus briefs filed in support of Fleck: Liberty Justice Center; Pacific Legal Foundation; joint brief of National Right to Work Legal Defense Foundation, Inc. and Reason Foundation; 1889 Institute
- **March 9, 2020** – The U.S. Supreme Court denied Fleck’s cert. petition
- **April 3, 2020** – Fleck filed a petition for rehearing asking the Court to consider the petition at the same time as the cert. petition in *Jarchow*
- **May 4, 2020** – The U.S. Supreme Court denied Fleck’s petition for rehearing

Wisconsin

Jarchow v. State Bar of Wisconsin

- **April 8, 2019** – Complaint filed
- **May 21, 2019** – Defendants filed a motion to dismiss under 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6), and a motion to stay the proceedings pending a resolution in *Fleck v. Wetch* (as an alternative to dismissal)
- **June 2019** – All motion to dismiss briefing completed
- **December 2019** – District court granted 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss and plaintiffs appealed the decision to the Seventh Circuit. The plaintiffs moved for summary affirmance of the district court’s decision.
- **December 23, 2019** – Seventh Circuit affirmed district court’s dismissal of the case under *Keller*
- **December 31, 2019** – Plaintiffs filed a petition for writ of certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court
- **April 3, 2020** – Wisconsin Bar filed response to plaintiffs’ cert. petition
- **June 1, 2020** – U.S. Supreme Court denied Jarchow’s cert. petition. Justices Thomas and Gorsuch filed a short opinion dissenting from the denial of cert.

* * *

Wisconsin

File v. Kastner et al.

- **July 25, 2019** – Complaint filed against State Bar officers, Wisconsin Supreme Court justices
- **November 2019** – Defendants filed motions to dismiss and a motion to stay the case pending resolution of the motions to dismiss
- **December 2019** – Briefing on defendants’ motions to dismiss and stay complete
- **March 2020** – Plaintiff filed a motion to disqualify Judge Adelman
- **June 29, 2020** – District court dismissed the complaint, holding that plaintiff’s claim is foreclosed by *Keller*, and denied the plaintiff’s motion to disqualify
- **July 28, 2020** – Plaintiff filed an appeal in the Seventh Circuit
- **September 8, 2020** – Plaintiff filed opening brief
- **November 6, 2020** – Defendants filed their responding brief
- **November 30, 2020** – Plaintiff’s filed reply brief
- **January 15, 2021** – Seventh Circuit held oral argument

Oregon

Gruber v. Oregon State Bar

Crowe v. Oregon State Bar

- **April 1 and May 24, 2019** – Magistrate judge issued findings and recommendation. Magistrate judge recommended dismissal of the suits and rejected many of the same claims and legal arguments that the *McDonald* Plaintiffs assert. The district court adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and dismissed both cases
- **May 29-30, 2019** – Plaintiffs in both cases filed a notice of appeal to the Ninth Circuit. *Crowe* lawsuit sponsored by Goldwater Institute, the same organization that is sponsoring *Fleck*
- **September – November 2019** – Appellate briefs filed in both cases. Amicus briefs in support of Oregon Bar filed by Arizona Bar, California Bar, and the State of Oregon
- **May 12, 2020** – Oral argument held in both cases consecutively

- **February 26, 2021** – Ninth Circuit issued decision affirming the district court’s dismissal of the plaintiffs’ free-speech and objection procedure claims. The Ninth Circuit also held that neither *Keller* nor *Lathrop* barred the plaintiffs’ free-association claim, and remanded to the district court on that issue.
- **May 10** – Plaintiffs in *Gruber* filed a petition for writ of certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court. The Oregon Bar’s opposition is due August 2.
- **May 27** – Plaintiffs in *Crowe* filed a petition for writ of certiorari in U.S. Supreme Court. The Oregon Bar’s opposition is due on July 2 (but it will likely seek an extension).

Oklahoma

Schell v. The Chief Justices of the Oklahoma Supreme Court et al.

- **March 26, 2019** – Complaint filed; lawsuit sponsored by Goldwater Institute
- **April 24, 2019** – Defendant filed motion to dismiss under 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6)
- **May 15, 2019** – Plaintiff amended the complaint to add justices of the Oklahoma Supreme Court and members of the Bar Board of Governors
- **May 21, 2019** – Judge Friot recused himself and Judge Heaton is now presiding over the case
- **June 21, 2019** – Board of Governors, Executive Director, individual Board of Governors defendant, and OK Supreme Court justices filed separate motions to dismiss
- **September 18, 2019** – Defendants’ motions to dismiss granted in part and denied in part; only plaintiff’s third claim (Bar procedures) remains
- **October 2, 2019** – Defendants filed answers to complaint

- **March 13 & 25, 2020** – Defendants filed unopposed motion to dismiss the remaining claim due to changes in the Oklahoma Bar’s objection procedures; case is dismissed
- **April 2020** – Plaintiff filed an appeal in the Tenth Circuit
- **May 19, 2020** – Plaintiff filed opening brief
- **June 18, 2020** – Defendants filed responding brief
- **July 10, 2020** – Plaintiff filed reply brief
- **November 16, 2020** – Tenth Circuit held oral argument

Louisiana

*Boudreaux v.
Louisiana State Bar
Ass’n et al.*

- **August 1, 2019** – Complaint filed against Louisiana Bar, the Louisiana Supreme Court and justices; lawsuit sponsored by Goldwater Institute
- **September 30, 2019** – Defendants filed motions to dismiss under 12(b)(6) and 12(b)(1)
- **November 2019** – Briefing on defendants’ motions to dismiss complete
- **January 13, 2020** – District court granted defendants’ 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(6) motions to dismiss, dismissing all three of plaintiff’s claims against all defendants
- **February 10, 2020** – Plaintiff filed appeal in the Fifth Circuit
- **May 22, 2020** – Briefing in the Fifth Circuit is complete
- **March 4, 2021** – Oral argument held immediately following the *McDonald* oral argument

Michigan

Taylor v. Barnes et al.

- **August 22, 2019** – Complaint filed against the State Bar of Michigan, and President and other officers of the State Bar of Michigan Board of Commissioners
- **September 19, 2019** – Defendants filed answer to complaint
- **May 14, 2020** – Parties filed, and court entered, stipulation dismissing the Michigan Bar as a defendant; only individual defendants remain
- **May 15, 2020** – Plaintiff filed motion for summary judgment and opening brief in support
- **June 15, 2020** – Defendants filed opening brief in response to plaintiff’s motion, and in support of cross-motion for summary judgment
- **July 27, 2020** – Summary judgment briefing completed
- **September 8, 2020** – The district court granted summary judgment for the Bar defendants on all of the plaintiff’s claims, including that mandatory membership in, and financial support for, the State Bar of Michigan violate attorneys’ First Amendment rights to freedom of association and speech—two of the same claims made by the *McDonald* plaintiffs
- **November 24, 2020** – Plaintiff filed opening brief in Sixth Circuit
- **December 24, 2020** – Defendants filed responding brief
- **February 4, 2021** – Plaintiff filed reply brief
- **July 22, 2021** – Case will be submitted to the Court on the briefs; no oral argument will be held.